arXiv:1512.06642v3 [math.RA] 18 Jan 2016

A NOTE ON SET-THEORETIC SOLUTIONS OF THE YANG-BAXTER EQUATION

AGATA SMOKTUNOWICZ

Abstract. This paper shows that every finite non-degenerate involutive set theoretic solution (X, r) of the Yang-Baxter equation whose symmetric group $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ has cardinality which a cube-free number is a multipermutation solution. Some properties of finite braces are also investigated (Theorems 6, 8 and 14). It is also shown that if A is a left brace whose cardinality is an odd number and $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$ for all $a, b \in A$, then A is a two-sided brace and hence a Jacobson radical ring. It is also observed that the semidirect product and the wreath product of braces of a finite multipermutation level is a brace of a finite multipermutation level.

1. introduction

Circa 2005, Wolfgang Rump introduced the notion of braces, a generalisation of Jacobson radical rings, as a tool for investigating solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. We follow his paper [34] pp 128 for the definition of a left brace: Let A be an abelian group together with a left distributive multiplication, that is $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ for all $a, b, c \in A$. We call $(A, +, \cdot)$ a *left brace* if the *circle operation* $a \circ b = a \cdot b + a + b$ makes A into a group. As mentioned in [34], pp 128, for a left brace A the associativity of A^o is easily seen to be equivalent to the equation $(a \cdot b + a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c) + a \cdot c + b \cdot c$. The group A^o will be called the *adjoint group* of a brace A. In 2012, Cedo, Jespers and Okninski [16] expressed the definition of a brace in terms of operation o. In their paper, the adjoint group A^o is called the multiplicative group of brace A; their definition is equivalent to the above definition by Rump. We recall the definition from [16]: a left brace is an abelian group $(A, +)$ with multiplication $\circ$ such that $(A, \circ)$ is a group and

$$a \circ (b + c) + a = a \circ b + a \circ c$$

Date: January 19, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16N80, 16P90, 16N40, 16N20, 20F16, 20E22, 20F28, 16T25, 81R50, 20B25, 20F38, 20B35, 20F16, 20F29.

Key words and phrases. braces, braided group, the Young-Baxter Equation, Engel group.

holds for all $a, b, c \in A$. Another interesting structure related to the Yang-Baxter equation, the braided group, was introduced in 2000, by Lu, Yan, Zhu [32]. In [22], Gateva-Ivanova showed that left braces are in one-to-one correspondence with braided groups with an involutive braiding operators.

Recall that a set-theoretical solution of the Yang-Baxter equation is a pair (X, r) where X is a set and $r(x, y) = (\sigma_x(y), \tau_y(x))$, for $x, y \in X$, is a bijective map such that

$$(r \times id)(id \times r)(r \times id) = (id \times r)(r \times id)(id \times r).$$

A solution (X, r) is non-degenerate if the maps σ_x and τ_x are bijective for each $x \in X$, and (X, r) is involutive if $r^2 = id_{X \times X}$.

Convention. By a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation we will mean a non-degenerate, involutive set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation.

Let R be a left brace; then the solution (R, r) of the Yang-Baxter equation associated to brace R is defined in the following way: for $x, y \in R$ define $r(x, y) = (u, v)$, where $u = x \cdot y + y, v = z \cdot (x \cdot y + x + y) + x \cdot y + x + y + z$ and where z is the inverse of $u = x \cdot y + y$ in the adjoint group R° of R, for $x, y \in R$. This solution is called the solution *associated to left brace* R and will be denoted as (R, r).

The notions of Retract of a solution and Multipermutation solution were introduced by Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev in [21]. Multipermutation solution is a generalization of Lybashenko's Permutation solution. A solution (X, r) is called a multipermutation solution of level m if m is the smallest nonnegative integer that, after applying the operation of retraction m times, the obtained solution has cardinality 1. If such m exists, the solution is also called a *multipermutation solution*, that is a solution which has a finite multipermutation level (for a detailed definition, see [22], [16]). Some interesting related results can be found in [10, 21, 18, 16, 29, 42]; for example, it is known a finite solution (X, r) is a multipermutation solution, provided that the permutation group $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ is abelian (Theorem 4.3 [16], for the case when (X, r) is infinite see Theorem 7.1 [29]).

Let A be either a left brace or a right brace. We define $A^{(n)} = A^{(n-1)} \cdot A$ and $A^n = A \cdot A^n$, where $A^{(1)} = 1$, and say that a left brace A has *a finite multipermutation level* if $A^{(n)} = 0$ for some n or equivalently the solution of the Yang-Baxter equation associated to A is a multipermutation solution (for some related results see [15, 37, 40]).

Remark In [37], Rump introduced radical chains A^n and $A^{(n)}$ for a right brace A. Rump showed that, if A is a right brace, then $A^n = 0$ is a two-sided ideal of A, and $A^{(n)}$ doesn't need to be a two-sided ideal of A. Notice that if A is a left brace

then $A^{(n)} = 0$ is a two-sided ideal of A, and A^n doesn't need to be a two-sided ideal of A.

A semidirect product of braces and wreath product of braces is an interesting construction. The semidirect product of braces was introduced by Rump in [38]. The wreath product of braces was investigated in 2008 in Corollaries 3.5 and 3.6 [20], [19] and in Corollary 9.1 [16]. The wreath product of solutions was studied in 2009 in [26], [27] (see Theorem 8.7). We have the following observation, which is related to Theorem 8.7 from [26].

Proposition 1. *Let A and B be left braces of a finite multipermutation level. Then the semidirect product $A \rtimes B$ and the wreath product $A \wr B$ of braces A and B is a brace of a finite multipermutation level.*

Braided groups and braces have interesting connections with group theory. In [19], it was shown that every finite nilpotent group can be embedded into an adjoint group of a finite brace whose adjoint group is nilpotent. This was slightly extended in [15] to show that every finite nilpotent group is a subgroup of an adjoint group of some finite nilpotent ring. In Corollary 6.1 [16], it was shown that every finite solvable group is a subgroup of an adjoint group of a finite brace. We notice that, by using the same proof as in Corollary 6.1 in [16] and Proposition 1, it is possible to show a slightly stronger result, namely:

Remark (Related to Corollary 6.1, [16]) Let G be a finite group. There is a finite left brace A of a finite multpermutation level, such that G is a subgroup of the adjoint group A° of A.

We don't know the answer to the following questions.

Question 2. *Let G be a finite group which is the adjoint group of some left brace A. Does it follow that G is the adjoint group of some left brace of a finite multipermutation level? If in addition G is a nilpotent group, does it follow that G is the adjoint group of a two-sided brace?*

Question 3. *(Amberg, Kazarin, Sysak* [3, 4]*). If R is a nil ring whose adjoint group R° is fnitely generated, is R nilpotent?*

A similar question can be asked about braces:

Question 4. *If R is a brace whose adjoint group A° is finitely generated, does it follow that R is a finite brace?*

A related question is:

Question 5. *If R is a nil ring which is fnitely generated as a Lie ring, is R nilpotent?*

Interesting related results can be found in [1, 33, 39].

We will use the following notation.

$$a^{\circ(n)} = a \circ a \circ \cdots \circ a$$

where a appears n times, so $a^{\circ(n)}$ is the n-th power of a in the adjoint group A° of A. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 6. *Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a left brace and let A_p, A_q be the Sylow's subgroups of the additive group of A of cardinalities respectively p^n and q^m for some prime numbers q and p and some natural numbers m, n. Then the following holds:*

1. *If p doesn't divide $q^t - 1$ for any $1 \leq t \leq m$, then*
$$A_p \cdot A_q = 0.$$
2. *Let $a \in A$ and k be the maximal number such that p^k divides $q^t - 1$ for some $1 \leq t \leq m$. Then $a^{\circ(p^k)} \cdot b = 0$.*

Notice that Theorem 6 implies that if $q < p$ and p doesn't divide $q^t - 1$ for any $0 < t \leq m$, then every brace of order $p^n q^m$ is not a simple brace, as A_p is an ideal in A. Moreover, if A_p has a nonzero socle, then A has a nonzero socle. Note that in [8] Bachiller provides some interesting examples of simple braces. We would like to pose a related open question.

Question 7. *Let p, q be prime numbers and α, β be natural numbers. Assume that p divides $q^\beta - 1$ and q divides $p^\alpha - 1$. Is there a simple brace of cardinality $p^\alpha q^\beta$?*

Our next result follows from Theorem 6

Corollary 8. *Let A be a left brace of cardinality $p_1^{\alpha(1)} \dots p_n^{\alpha(n)}$ for some n, some prime numbers $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_n$ and some natural numbers $\alpha(1), \ldots, \alpha(n)$. Let A_i denote the Sylow's subgroup of the additive group of A of cardinality $p_i^{\alpha(i)}$. Suppose that for some $m \leq n$ the brace A_m has a nonzero socle and p_m doesn't divide*
$$p_j^i - 1$$
for all $j \leq n$ and each $i \leq \alpha(j)$. Then the socle of A is non-zero.

Recall that the socle of a left brace is defined as $\mathrm{Soc}(A) = \{a \in A : a \cdot b = 0$ for all $b \in A\}$ (see [37], and for left braces [16]). We have the following corollary of Theorem 8.

Corollary 9. *Let A be a left brace of cardinality $p_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ for some pairwise distinct prime numbers $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ and some natural numbers $\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_n$. Assume that for every $i \leq n$, and every $k < i$, p_i doesn't divide*

$$p_k^t - 1$$

for any $t \leq \alpha_k$. If all the Sylow's subgroups of the additive group of A_m are braces of a finite multipermutation level then A is a brace of a finite multipermutation level.

Recall that if A is a finite left brace then all the Sylow's subgroups of the additive group of A are also left braces (see Lemma 17 [40]).

As an application of Theorem 6, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 10. *If A is a brace whose cardinality is a cube-free number, then the socle of A is nonzero. Moreover, A is a brace of a finite multipermutation level.*

We can formulate Corollary 10 in the language of braided groups as follows.

Corollary 11. *If (G, σ) is a symmetric group (in the sense of Takeuchi) whose cardinality is a cube-free number, then (G, σ) has a finite multipermutation level.*

Our next result is the following.

Theorem 12. *Every finite solution (X, r) of the Yang-Baxter equation whose symmetric group $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ has cardinality which is a cube-free number is a multipermutation solution.*

Recall that permutation group $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ of left actions associated with (X, r) was introduced by Gateva-Ivanova in [23] (see also [22]).

Our last application of Theorem 6 is the following.

Corollary 13. *Let A be a finite left brace and let $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ be the Sylow's subgroups of the additive group of A. If for every i, $A_i \cdot A_i = 0$ and the additive group of A_i is cyclic, then A is a brace of a finite multipermutation level.*

Let A be a left brace and $a \in A$, then we denote $a^1 = a$ and for $i > 1$ we denote $a^{i+1} = a \cdot a^i$. Our next result is related to Theorem 1 from [40].

Theorem 14. *Let A be a finite left brace; then the adjoint group A° is nilpotent if and only if there is a number m such that $a^m = 0$ for every $a \in A$. Moreover, if $a, b \in A$ are elements of distinct Sylow's subgroups of the additive group of A, then $(a+b)^n = 0$ for some n implies $a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 0$.*

In [31] Guarnieri and Vendramin posed several interesting conjectures. Conjecture 6.4 states that if $p < q$ are prime numbers and p doesn't divide $q-1$, then the number of not isomorphic left braces of order p^2q is 4; notice that then q doesn't divide $p^2-1=(p-1)(p+1)$; so if A_p, A_q are the Sylow's subgroup of the additive group of a brace A of such cardinality p^2q then $A_p \cdot A_q = 0$ and $A_q \cdot A_p = 0$ by Theorem 6. Therefore, A is a direct sum of braces of order p^2 and q, and the truth of Conjecture 6.4 follows from [9], where it was shown that there are exactly 4 nonisomorphic braces of order p^2. We notice that since groups of some cardinalities are nilpotent it is also possible to use Theorem 1 from [40], which asserts that a brace whose adjoint group is nilpotent is a direct sum of the braces which are Sylow's subgroups of its additive group.

Let k be a field. In analogy with k-algebras, Catino and Rizzo [12] introduced braces whose additive groups are k-vector spaces such that $(\alpha a)\cdot b = \alpha(a\cdot b)$, for $\alpha \in K$. Rump called such braces $k-linear$ or k-braces; Catino and Rizzo called them circle algebras. In our next result we consider a similar property for left braces.

Theorem 15. *Let A be a finite left brace such that the cardinality of A is an odd number. If $(-a)\cdot b = -(a\cdot b)$ for every $a, b \in A$ then A is a nilpotent ring.*

For some related results see the references [1]–[42].

2. Notation

Let $(A,+,\cdot)$ be a left brace defined as at the beginning of this paper, and let $\circ$ be the operation such that $a\circ b = a\cdot b + a + b$. We will use the following notation.

$$a^{\circ(n)} = a\circ a\circ\cdots\circ a$$

where a appears n times, and

$$a^n = a\cdot(a\cdot(\cdots(a))),$$

where a appears n times. Let $a, b \in A$, we inductively define elements $e_n = e_n(a,b)$ as $e_0 = b$, $e_1 = a\cdot b$ and

$$e_{i+1} = a\cdot e_i.$$

If n is a natural number and $a \in A$ then $n\cdot a$ denotes the sum of n copies of a, and $(-n)\cdot a$ denotes the sum of n copies of elements $-a$.

Given a prime number q, let F_q denote the field of q elements, and let $F_q[x]$ denote the polynomial ring over F_q in one variable x.

3. Multipermutation solutions

Let A be a left brace, and let $a, b \in A$. Recall that we inductively define elements $e_n = e_n(a,b)$ as $e_0 = b$, $e_1 = a \cdot b$ and $e_{i+1} = a \cdot e_i$. We will start with the following supporting lemma:

Lemma 16. *Let A be a brace and let $a, b \in A$ and n be a natural number. Let notation be as above. Then*

$$(a^{\circ(n)}) \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot e_i.$$

Moreover,

$$a^{\circ(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot a^i.$$

Proof. Observe that it can be shown by induction on n that $a^{\circ(n)} = a \circ (a \circ (\ldots \circ (a \circ a))) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot a^i$, as $a^{\circ(n+1)} = a \circ a^{\circ(n)} = a + a^{\circ(n)} + a \cdot a^{\circ(n)} = a + (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot a^i) + (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot a^{i+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} \cdot a^i$.

It remains now to show that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot e_i = (a^{\circ(n)}) \cdot b$. We know that $\circ$ is an associative operation, so $a^{\circ(n)} \circ b = a \circ (a \circ (\cdots \circ (a \circ b)))$. Observe that $(a^{\circ(n)}) \cdot b = (a^{\circ(n)}) \circ b - (a^{\circ(n)}) - b$, so it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot e_i + a^{\circ(n)} + b = a^{\circ(n)} \circ b.$$

We use the induction on n, for $n = 1$ we have $e_1 + a + b = a \circ b$ so the result holds. Suppose that the result holds for some n. We multiply by a from the left to get

$$a \circ (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot e_i + a^{\circ(n)} + b) = a^{\circ(n+1)} \circ b.$$

Observe that the left hand side equals $a \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot e_i + a^{\circ n} + b) + a + (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot e_i + a^{\circ n} + b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot (e_i + e_{i+1}) + a \cdot a^{\circ n} + a \cdot b + a + a^{\circ n} + b = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \binom{(n+1)}{i} \cdot e_i + a^{\circ(n+1)} + b$, which finishes the inductive argument ☐

Lemma 17. *Let A be a finite brace, and $a, b \in A$, $a, b \neq 0$, and let p, j be natural numbers. Then $a^{\circ(p^j)} \cdot b = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{p^j} \binom{p^j}{i} \cdot e_i = 0$.*

Proof. It follows because $a^{\circ(p^j)} \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{p^j} \binom{p^j}{i} \cdot e_i$ by Lemma 16. ☐

Let Z be the ring of integers, and $Z[x]$ denote the polynomial ring over Z.

Lemma 18. *Let A be a left brace and let $a, b \in A$. Suppose that $a^{\circ(p^j)} = 0$ and $q^m \cdot b = 0$ for some distinct prime numbers p, q and some natural numbers m, j. If $a \cdot (a \cdot b) = 0$ then $a \cdot b = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 16 we have $0 = a^{\circ(n)} \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \cdot e_i$ where $n = p^j$ and e_i are defined as in Lemma 16. Observe that $e_i = 0$ for all $i > 1$ as $e_2 = a \cdot (a \cdot b) = 0$. Therefore $n \cdot e_1 = p^j \cdot e_1 = 0$, and since p^j and q are co-prime then $e_1 = 0$, so $a \cdot b = e_1 = 0$. □

For a prime number q, let F_q denote the field of q elements and $F_q[x]$ be the polynomial ring in one variable over F_q. Let Z be the ring of integers and $Z[x]$ be the polynomial ring in one variable over Z.

Lemma 19. *Let A be a left brace and $a, b \in A$, $a, b \neq 0$ and let $e_i = e_i(a, b)$ for every i. Let $f(x), g(x), h(x) \in Z[x]$ be such that $h(x) = g(x)f(x)$ where $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} j_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} l_i x^i$ for some natural numbers k, l. Denote $f = \sum_{i=0}^{k} j_i \cdot e_i$, $g = \sum_{i=0}^{l} l_i e_i$. If $f = 0$ then $h = 0$.*

Proof. Observe that since $f = 0$ then $0 = a \cdot f = \sum_{i=0}^{k} j_i \cdot e_{i+1}$; notice that element $a \cdot f$ corresponds to polynomial $x \cdot f(x)$. Similarly element $0 = a \cdot (a \cdot f) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} j_i \cdot e_{i+2}$ corresponds to polynomial $x^2 f(x)$. Continuing in this way we get that $h = 0$, since it corresponds to polynomial $f(x)g(x)$. □

Lemma 20. *Let A be a left brace and $a, b \in A$, $a, b \neq 0$. Suppose that $q \cdot b = 0$ for some natural number q. Let $f(x), g(x), p(x), q(x), h(x) \in Z[x]$ and let $r(x) = p(x)f(x) + q(x)g(x) + q \cdot h(x)$ where $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} j_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} l_i x^i$ and $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l'} h_i x^i$, $r(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} r_i x^i$, for some natural numbers k, l, l', m. Denote $f = \sum_{i=0}^{k} j_i \cdot e_i$, $g = \sum_{i=0}^{l} l_i e_i$ and $r = \sum_{i=0}^{m} r_i e_i$. If $f = 0$ and $g = 0$ then $r = 0$.*

Proof. Observe first that $q \cdot b = 0$ implies $q \cdot e_i = 0$ for all i. Therefore, $t = q \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{l'} h_i e_i = 0$, observe that t corresponds to polynomial $q \cdot h(x)$. Let $p = \sum_i p_i e_i$ and $q = \sum_i q_i e_i$ be elements corresponding to polynomials $p(x)f(x)$ and $q(x)g(x)$; by Lemma 19 we get $p = q = 0$. Observe that $r = p + q + t$, and so $r = 0$. □

Theorem 21. *Let A be a finite left brace and $a, b \in A$, $a \cdot b \neq 0$. Suppose that $a^{\circ(p^j)} = 0$ and $q \cdot b = 0$ for some distinct prime numbers p, q and some natural number j. Let A_q be the Sylow's subgroup of the additive group of A, then A_q has cardinality q^m for some m, and $b \in A_q$. Let k be the maximal number such that p^k divides $q^i - 1$ for some $i \leq m$. Then*

$$a^{\circ(p^k)} \cdot b = 0.$$

In particular $a \cdot b = 0$ if p doesn't divide $q^i - 1$ for any $i \leq m$.

Proof. By a slight abuse of notation we can consider natural numbers smaller than q as elements of the field F_q. Denote $e_0 = b, e_1 = a \cdot b$ and inductively $e_{i+1} = a \cdot e_i$.

Denote $f(x)=(x+1)^{p^j}-1$, then $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{p^j}\binom{p^j}{i}x^i$. Consider elements $\sum_{i=0}^{m} n_i\cdot e_i \in A_q$, where $0\leq n_0,n_1,\ldots,n_m\leq q$ then there are q^{m+1} elements in this set, therefore some two of them are equal. Notice that $q\cdot b=0$ implies $q\cdot e_i=0$ for every i. Therefore, $\sum_{i=0}^{m} n_i'\cdot e_i=0$, for some $0\leq n_0',n_1',\ldots,n_m'\leq q$ (not all equal to zero). Denote $g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} n_i'\cdot x^i$. It is known that an irreducible polynomial of degree α from $F_q[x]$ divides polynomial $x^{q^\alpha}-x$. Therefore, a polynomial of degree not exceeding m from $F_q[x]$ divides polynomial $x^m(\prod_{i=1}^{m}(x^{q^i-1}-1))^m$ in $F_q[x]$. Consequently $h(x+1)=g(x)$ divides polynomial $(x+1)^m(\prod_{i=1}^{m}((x+1)^{q^i-1}-1))^m$ in $F_q[x]$. Denote $l(x)=(x+1)^m(\prod_{i=1}^{m}((x+1)^{q^i-1}-1))^m$ and let $l(x)=\sum_i \alpha_i x^i$ for some $0\leq\alpha_i<q$, then $\sum_i \alpha_i e_i=0$ by Lemma 20.

Let $t(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{t} j_i\cdot x^i$ be the greatest common divisor of $f(x)$ and $l(x)$ in $F_q[x]$; then there exist polynomials $h(x),p(x),q(x)\in Z[x]$ such that

$$t(x)=p(x)\cdot f(x)+q(x)\cdot l(x)+q\cdot h(x).$$

By Lemma 20 we get that $\sum_{i=0}^{l} j_i e_i=0$, provided that $f=0$, where in our case $f=\sum_{i=1}^{p^j}\binom{p^j}{i}\cdot e_i$. Recall that $a^{\circ(p^j)}=0$, by Lemma 17 we get

$$0=\sum_{i=1}^{p^j}\binom{p^j}{i}\cdot e_i=f,$$

hence $\sum_{i=1}^{l} j_i e_i=0$, as required.

We will show now that $t(x)=(x+1)^{p^k}-1$. The greatest common divisor of $f(x)=(x+1)^p-1$ and $(x+1)^{q^i}-(x+1)=((x+1)^{q^i-1}-1)(x+1)$ equals $(x+1)^{p^s}-1$ for some s, since $(x+1)$ doesn't divide $f(x)$. Observe that $f(x)$ doesn't have multiple roots in any field extension of F_q because $f(x)$ and $f'(x)=p^k(x+1)^{p^k-1}$ have no common roots. Since $f(x)$ has no multiple roots then $t(x)$ doesn't have multiple roots. Notice also that $(x+1)^{p^i}-1$ divides polynomial $(x+1)^{p^{i+1}}-1$. It follows that $t(x)=(x+1)^{p^k}-1$. By Lemma 17 we get that $a^{\circ(p^k)}\cdot b=0$.

If p doesn't divide q^i-1 for any $i\leq k$ then $t(x)=(x+1)-1=x$ hence $e_1=a\cdot b=0$, as required. □

Proof Theorem 6. Observe that part [1] follows from part [2] applied for $k=0$. Therefore, we will prove [2]. Let $a'=a^{\circ(p^k)}$, and if $k=0$ then $a'=a$. Suppose on the contrary that $a'\cdot b'\neq 0$ for some $b'\in A_q$. Let α be such that $a'\cdot(q^\alpha\cdot b')=0$ and $a'\cdot(q^{\alpha-1}\cdot b')\neq 0$. Denote $b=a'\cdot(q^{\alpha-1}\cdot b')\neq 0$. Observe that $q\cdot b=0$ and $a'\cdot b=a'\cdot(a'\cdot(q^{\alpha-1}\cdot b'))\neq 0$ by Lemma 18. Therefore $0\neq a'\cdot b=a^{\circ(p^k)}\cdot b$. This is impossible by Theorem 21.

Proof of Corollary 8. By Theorem 6 we get $A_m \cdot A_j = 0$ for all $j \leq n$, since p_m doesn't divide $p_j^i - 1$ for each $i \leq \alpha(j)$. Let a be in the socle of the brace A_n, then $a \cdot A_i = 0$ for all i, it follows that a is in the socle of A.

Proof of Corollary 9. Observe that if a brace A satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 8 then the retraction of A also satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 8. Recall that in [37] Rump have shown that the retraction of a brace A is isomorphic to $A/Soc(A)$. The result now follows from Corollary 8 applied several times.

Remark. The author is grateful to Leandro Vendramin for providing a list of braces which small cardinalities. In particular it follows from this list that all braces with cardinalities $6, 8, 12, 36$ have a finite multipermutation level.

Theorem 22. *Let A be a finite left brace whose cardinality is a cube-free number; then A has a nonzero socle.*

Proof. Let $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ where A_i are Sylow's subgroups of the additive group of A. Let A_i have cardinality $p_i^{\alpha(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ where $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_n$ are prime numbers. It is known that every A_i is a brace (see for example Lemma 17 in [40]). It is known that all groups of order p and p^2 are abelian (see [9] for some related results). Terefore, A_n is a two-sided brace, it follows that the socle of A_n is nonzero. Therefore there is an element $a \in A_n$ such that $a \cdot b = 0$ for all $b \in A_n$. Assume first that $p_n > 3$. We will show that $a \cdot b = 0$ for every $b \in A$. By Theorem 6 we get $A_n \cdot A_i = 0$ for all $i < n$, since p_n doesn't divide $p_i - 1$ nor $p_i^2 - 1 = (p_i - 1)(p_i + 1)$. Therefore $a \cdot A = 0$, as required. Assume now that A_n has cardinality equal to either 3 or 9, and A_{n-1} has cardinality 2 or 4. It follows that A is a brace of one of the following cardinalities: $6, 18, 12, 36$. By the remark above this theorem it follows that A has a nonzero socle. □

Proof of Corollary 10. It follows from Theorem 22 applied several times.

Proof of Corollary 11. In [22] Gateva-Ivanova showed that braces and braided groups with involutive braiding operators are in one-to-one correspondence. Using this correspondence we see that Theorem 11 follows from Corollary 10.

Proof of Theorem 12. In [22] Gateva-Ivanova showed that a solution (X, r) is a multipermutation solution if and only if the symmetric group $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ has a finite multipermutation level. It is known that $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ has a structure of a left brace, and Theorem 12 follows from Corollary 10.

Proof of Corollary 13. Let the cardinality of A_i be $p_i^{\alpha(i)}$ where p_i is prime. Let A_j have the largest cardinality among braces $A_1, \ldots, A_n$. It follows that $p_j^{\alpha(j)}$

doesn't divide $p_t^i - 1$ for any t and any $i \leq \alpha(t)$. Let a be a generator of the additive group of A_j, then $a^{\circ(p^{\alpha(j)-1})} \neq 0$ and $a^{\circ(p^{\alpha(j)})} = 0$, this follows because $A_j \cdot A_j = 0$. By Theorem 6 [2] there is $k \leq \alpha(j) - 1$ such that $a^{\circ(p^k)} \cdot b = 0$ for every $b \in A_i$ for $i \neq j$. Observe that $a^{\circ(p^k)} \cdot b = 0$ for $b \in A_j$ because $A_j \cdot A_j = 0$. Therefore $a^{\circ(p^k)}$ is in the socle of A. Observe that brace $A/Soc(A)$ satisfies the assumptions of this theorem, so it has a nonzero socle. Continuing in this way we get that A has a finite multipermutation level (because the retraction of a brace A equals $A/Soc(A)$ by a result of Rump [37]).

Proof of Theorem 14. Observe that $(a+b)^n = e_{n-1}(a+b,a) + e_{n-1}(a+b,b)$, where elements $e_{n-1}(a+b,a)$ and $e_{n-1}(a+b,b)$ are defined as in Section 2. Therefore $(a+b)^n = 0$ implies $e_{n-1}(a+b,a) = e_{n-1}(a+b,b) = 0$, since $e_{n-1}(a+b,a)$ and $e_{n-1}(a+b,b)$ are from different Sylow's subgroups of the additive group of A. We can assume that $p^m \cdot a = 0$, $q^{m'} \cdot b = 0$ for some distinct prime numbers p, q and some natural numbers m, m'. We can assume that $m > n$, if necessary taking bigger m. By Lemma 16

$$((a+b)^{\circ(j)}) \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{j} \binom{j}{i} \cdot e_i(a+b,b).$$

Let $\alpha = q^t$ where $t > m'$ be such that p^{m+1} divides $q^t - 1$ (we know that p divides $q^{p-1} - 1$ so p^{m+1} divides $q^{(p-1)p^m} - 1$). It follows that $\binom{\alpha}{i}$ is divisible by $p^m \cdot q^{m'}$ for all $0 < i < p^{m+1}$, and so $\binom{\alpha}{i} \cdot (a+b) = 0$ which imply $\binom{\alpha}{i} \cdot (a+b)^i = 0$. By Lemma 16,

$$(a+b)^{\circ(\alpha)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{i} (a+b)^i = \alpha \cdot a$$

because $(a+b)^i = 0$ for all $i \geq p^m$ (since $p^m > n$). Therefore, and by Lemma 16,

$$a \cdot b = (\alpha \cdot a) \cdot b = (a+b)^{\circ(\alpha)} \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{i} \cdot e_i(a+b,b) = e_\alpha(a+b,b) = 0$$

because $\binom{\alpha}{i}$ is divisible by $q^{m'}$ and $e_\alpha(a+b,b) = 0$ since $\alpha > n$.

Observe that $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ where A_i are Sylow's subgroups of the additive group of A. Recall that every A_i is a brace (see for example Lemma 17 in [40]). Let $a \in A_i$ and $b \in A_j$ for some $i \neq j$. Since $(a+b)^m = 0$ we get $a \cdot b = b \cdot a = 0$, so $A_i \cdot A_j = A_j \cdot A_i = 0$. It follows that A° is the direct product of groups A_i° for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Notice tha t every A_i° is a p-group; it follows that A° is a nilpotent group. On the other hand, if A is nilpotent then $A^m = 0$ for some m by Theorem 1 in [40].

4. Nilpotent braces

In this section we will investigate the structure of left braces satisfying special conditions. For the following result we use a short proof which was provided by Ferran Cedo, which is much better than the original proof, and which in addition allows the removal of the assumption that A is a brace of a finite multipermutation level. The original proof of Theorem 15 can be found in [41].

In this section we will show that if A is a left brace of odd cardinality, and moreover $(-a)\cdot b = -(a\cdot b)$ for every $a, b \in A$, then A is a two-sided brace.

Proof of Theorem 15.(Provided by Ferran Cedo [13].) Let $a, b, c \in A$. We have that $c \circ (-a) \circ b = (2c - c \circ a) \circ b$ and

$$\begin{aligned} c \circ (-a) \circ b &= c \circ ((-a)\cdot b - a + b) \\ &= c \circ (-(a\cdot b) - a + b) \\ &= c \circ (-a \circ b + a + b - a + b) \\ &= c \circ (-a \circ b + 2b) \\ &= c \circ (2b) - c \circ a \circ b + c \\ &= 2(c \circ b) - c \circ a \circ b \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(2c - c \circ a) \circ b = 2(c \circ b) - c \circ a \circ b$. In particular, for $a = c^{-1}$ we have that $(2c) \circ b = 2(c \circ b) - b$. Hence for every $a, b, c \in A$, we have that

$$(2c - c \circ a) \circ b = (2c) \circ b + b - c \circ a \circ b.$$

Let $x, y, z \in A$. Since te cardinality of A is odd, there exists $c \in A$ such that $x = 2c$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x - y) \circ z &= (2c - c \circ c^{-1} \circ y) \circ z \\ &= (2c) \circ z + z - c \circ c^{-1} \circ y \circ z \\ &= x \circ z + z - y \circ z. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $t = x - y$, then $(t + y) \circ z + z = t \circ z + y \circ z$. Therefore, A is a finite two-sided brace, and hence a nilpotent ring.

Remark. Notice, that the original proof of Theorem 15 from [41] allows to prove the following result: *If A is a left brace (possibly infinite) of a finite multipermutation level, and moreover $(a\cdot a)\cdot b = a\cdot(a\cdot b)$ for every $a, b \in A$, then A is a two-sided brace, provided that $a + a = a$ implies $a = 0$ for every $a \in A$.*

5. Semidirect product and wreath product

In this section we will investigate semidirect product and wreath product of left braces. We will use the notation from Section 9 in [17].

Definition 1. Let G and H be two left braces. A map $f : G \to H$ is a homomorphism of left braces if

$$f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b), f(a \circ b) = f(a) \circ f(b).$$

Definition 2.

Let N, H be left braces, let $\sigma : H \to Aut(N)$ be a homomorphism of groups from the adjoint group H° of H to the group of authomorphisms of the left brace N (see Definition 1). Define the left brace $N \rtimes H$ as follows:

$$(g_1, h_1) + (g_2, h_2) = (g_1 + g_2, h_1 + h_2).$$

$$(g_1, h_1) \circ (g_2, h_2) = (g_1 \circ \sigma(h_1)(g_2), h_1 \circ h_2).$$

Lemma 23. *Let H, N be left braces and let $N \rtimes H$ be the semidirect product of braces H and N constructed via σ. The brace $N \rtimes H$ has a finite multipermutation level if and only if braces H and N have a finite multipermutation level.*

Proof. Let A be a left brace. It can be observed that A is a brace of a finite multipermutation level if and only if $A^{(n)} = 0$ for some n (it follows from results from [37] in the section about the socle of a brace, see also [17] for translation to the left braces). Let $f_i \in H$, $g_i \in N$ and $(f_i, g_i) \in N \rtimes H$ then

$$((((g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2)) \cdot (g_3, h_3)) \cdot \ldots) \cdot (g_n, h_n) = (d, (((h_1 \cdot h_2) \cdot h_3 \cdots) \cdot h_n)$$

for some $d \in N$, where $(g_i, h_i) \cdot (g_j, h_j) = (g_i, h_i) \circ (g_j, h_j) - (g_i, h_i) - (g_j, h_j)$.

Therefore, if brace $A = N \rtimes H$ has a finite multipermutation level then $A^n = 0$ for some n, and hence $H^n = 0$. Notice that if $h_1 = 1 = 0$ then

$$(g_1, 1) \circ (g_2, h_2) = (g_1 \circ \sigma(1)(g_2), h_2) = (g_1 \circ g_2, h_2)$$

(since σ is a homomorphism of groups so $\sigma(1) = 1$). It follows that

$$(g_1, 1) \cdot (g_2, h_2) = (g_1, 1) \circ (g_2, h_2) - (g_1, 1) - (g_2, h_2) = (g_1 \cdot g_2, 1).$$

Recall that $A = N \rtimes H$. Therefore, if $A^n = 0$ then

$$(((g_1, 1) \cdot (g_2, h_2)) \cdot (g_3, h_3) \cdots) \cdot (g_n, h_n) = (((g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot g_3 \cdots) \cdot g_n, 1)$$

therefore $N^{(n)} = 0$ and so N has a finite multipermutation level. We have shown that if $H \rtimes N$ has a finite multipermutation level then N and H are braces of a finite multipermutation level.

Assume now that H has a finite multipermutaton level, so $H^{(m)} = 0$ for some m. Then

$$((((g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2)) \cdot (g_3, h_3)) \cdots) \cdot (g_m, h_m) = (d, 1)$$

for some $d \in H$. Assume that N has a finite multipermutation level, so $N^{(m')} = 0$ for some m'. Then

$$((((d, 1) \cdot (g_{m+1}, h_{m+1})) \cdot (g_{+2}, h_{m+2})) \cdots) \cdot (g_{m+m'}, h_{m+m'}) = (1, 1) = (0, 0).$$

Therefore,

$$((((g_1, h_1) \cdot (g_2, h_2)) \cdot (g_3, h_3)) \cdots) \cdot (g_{m+m'}, h_{m+m'}) = (1, 1) = (0, 0),$$

and hence $A^{m+m'} = 0$ and so $N \rtimes H$ is a brace of finite multipermutation level. □

We follow definition from [17] for the wreath product of left braces.

Definition 3. Let G, H be two left braces. Then the wreath product $G \wr H$ of G by H is the semidirect product $W \rtimes H$, where $W = \{f : H \to G : \{h \in H : f(h) \neq 1\} < \infty\}\}$ and the acton of H of W is given by the homomorphism $\sigma : H \to Aut(W)$ defined by $\sigma(h)(f)(x) = f(hx)$, for all $x \in H$ and $f \in W$.

Recall that the multiplication on W is defined as $(f_1 \circ f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \circ f_2(x)$ for $f_1, f_2 \in W$ and $x \in H$.

Lemma 24. *Let G, H be two left braces. Then the wreath product $G \wr H$ of G by H is a brace of a finite multipermutation level, provided that G and H are braces of a finite multipermutation level*

Proof. By Lemma 23 it suffices to show that W is a brace of a finite multipermutation level. Notice that if $f_1, f_2 \in W, x \in H$ then $(f_1 \cdot f_2)(x) = (f_1 \circ f_2)(x) - f_1(x) - f_2(x) = f(x) \cdot f_2(x)$. Recall that H is a brace of a finite multipermutation level, it follows that $H^{(m)} = 0$ for some m. Therefore $0 = (((f_1(x) \cdot f_2(x)) \cdot f_3(x)) \cdots) f_m(x) = ((f_1 \cdot f_2) \cdots) f_m(x)$, hence $W^{(m)} = 0$, and so W is a brace of a finite multipermutation level. □

Acknowledgements I am very grateful to David Bachiller, Ferran Cedo, Tatiana Gateva-Ivanova, Jan Okniński and Leandro Vendramin for many helpful suggestions which have improved the previous version of this paper [41]. I am especially grateful to David Bachiller for his suggestion that the assumption that the cardinality of A is an odd number was not necessary in the previous version of Corollary 10, and to Ferran Cedo for providing a shorter and better proof of Theorem 15, which in addition allows the removal of the assumption that the solution associated to A is a multipermutation solution. I am also grateful to Leandro Vendarmin for providing a list of braces which

small cardinalities. I would also like to thank Michael West for reviewing the English-language aspects of this paper. This research was supported with ERC grant 320974, and I would like to thank them for their support.

References

[1] Adel Alahmadi, Hamed Alsulami, S.K. Jain, Efim Zelmanov, *Finite generation of Lie algebras associated with associative algebras*, Journal of Algebra 426 (2015) 69–78.

[2] B. Amberg, O. Dickenschied, Ya.P. Sysak Subgroups of the adjoint group of a radical ring, Canad. J. Math. 50 (1998), 3–15.

[3] B. Amberg, L. Kazarin, *Nilpotent p-algebras and factorized p-groups*, Proceedings of "Groups St. Andrews 2005" , London Math. Society Lecture Note Series 339, Vol 1, Cambridge Univ. Press (2007), 130–147.

[4] B. Amberg, Ya.P. Sysak, *Radical rings and products of groups*, Groups St Andrews 1997 in Bath: Edited by C. M. Campbell, 1–19.

[5] B. Amberg, Ya. P. Sysak, *Radical rings with soluble adjoint group*, J. Algebra 247 (2002), 692-702.

[6] J.C. Ault, J.F. Watters, *Circle groups of nilpotent rings*, Am. Math. Mon. 80, (1973), 48–52.

[7] David Bachiller, *Counterexample to a conjecture about braces*, arXiv:1507.02137v1 [math.GR] 8 July 2015.

[8] David Bachiller, *Examples of simple left braces*, arXiv:1511.08477v1 [math.GR] 26 Nov 2015.

[9] David Bachiller, *Classification of braces of order p^3*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra, 219 (8): 2015, 3568-3603.

[10] David Bachiller, Ferran Cedo, Eric Jespers, Jan Okninski *A family of irretractable square-free solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, arXiv:1511.07769v1 [math.GR] 24 Nov 2015.

[11] F. Catino , M. M. Miccolia, Ya. P. Sysak, *On the Adjoint Group of Semiprime Rings*, Communications in Algebra, Volume 35, Issue 1, 2006, 265–270.

[12] F. Catino, R. Rizzo, *Regular subgroups of the affine group and radical circle algebras*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 79 (2009), 103–107.

[13] Ferran Cedo, private communication, 8 January 2016.

[14] Ferran Cedó, *Braces and the Yang-Baxter equation*, (joint work with Eric Jespers and Jan Okniński), slides from the talk in Stuttgart, June 2012.

[15] F. Cedo, T. Gateva-Ivanova, and A. Smoktunowicz *Multipermutation solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation, Braces and Symmetric groups*, Preprint (2015).

[16] Ferran Cedó, Eric Jespers, Jan Okniński, *Braces and the Yang-Baxter Equation*, Communications in Mathematical Physics April 2014, Volume 327, Issue 1, pp 101–116.

[17] Ferran Cedó, Eric Jespers, Jan Okniński, *Braces and the Yang-Baxter Equation*, arXiv:1205.3587v1 [math.RA] 16 May 2012.

[18] Ferran Cedó, Eric Jespers, Jan Okniński, *Retractability of set theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, Adv. Math. 224 (2010), 2472–2484.

[19] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and A. del Rio, *Involutive Yang-Baxter Groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 2541–2558.

[20] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and A. del Rio, *Involutive Yang-Baxter Groups*, arXiv:0803.4054v1 [math.QA] 28 Mar 2008.

[21] P. Etingof, T. Schedler, T. Solovov, *A set theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation,* Duke. Math. J. 100 (1999), 169-209.

[22] Tatiana Gateva-Ivanova, *Set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, Braces, and Symmetric groups*, arXiv:1507.02602 [math.QA].

[23] T. Gateva-Ivanova, *A combinatorial approach to the set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, J.Math.Phys. **45** (2004), 3828–3858.

[24] T. Gateva-Ivanova, *A combinatorial approach to the set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Math. Phys. 45 (2004), 3828–3858.

[25] T. Gateva-Ivanova and S. Majid, *Matched pairs approach to set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra 319 (2008), 1462–1529.

[26] T. Gateva-Ivanova, Peter Cameron, *Multipermutation solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation* arXiv:0907.4276v1 [math.QA] 24 July 2009.

[27] T. Gateva-Ivanova, Peter Cameron, *Multipermutation solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, IC/2009/067, 2009, 1–64.

[28] T. Gateva-Ivanova and S. Majid, *Quantum spaces associated to multipermutation solutions of level two*, Algebr. Represent. Theor. **14** (2011) 341–376

[29] T. Gateva-Ivanova, Peter Cameron, *Multipermutation solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, Comm. Math. Phys, 309 (2012), 583–621.

[30] T. Gateva-Ivanova and M. Van den Bergh, *Semigroups of I-type*, J. Algebra 206 (1998), 97112.

[31] L. Guarnieri and L. Vendramin, *Braces, generalizations and applications to the Yang-Baxter equation*, arXiv:1511.03171v2 [math.QA] 18 Nov 2015.

[32] J. H. Lu, . Yan, and Y. C. Zhu, *On the set-theoretical Yang-Baxter equation*, Duke Math. J., 104 (1), 2000, 1-18.

[33] V. M. Petrogradsky, I.P. Shestakov, E. Zelmanov, *Nil graded self-similar algebras*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 4, No. 4, 873-900 (2010).

[34] W. Rump, *Modules over braces*, Algebra Discrete Math., Issue 2, 2006, 127-137.

[35] W. Rump, *Classification of cyclic braces*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra, 209 (3), 2007, 671-685.

[36] W. Rump, *The brace of a classical group*, Note Mat. **34** no. 1, 2014, 115-14.

[37] Wolfgang Rump, *Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Journal of Algebra Volume 307, Issue 1, 1 January 2007, Pages 153–170.

[38] W. Rump, *Semidirect product in algebraic logic and solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra Appl. 7 (2008), 471-490.

[39] . I. P. Shestakov and E. Zelmanov, *Some examples of nil Lie algebras*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 10 (2008), no. 2, 391398.

[40] Agata Smoktunowicz, *On Engel groups, nilpotent groups, rings, braces and the Yang-Baxter equation*, arXiv:1509.00420 [math.RA].

[41] Agata Smoktunowicz, *A note on set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, arXiv: 1512.06642v1 [math.RA] 21 Dec 2015.

[42] L. Vendramin, *Extensions of set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and a conjecture of Gateva-Ivanova*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2015.10.018 Available online 23 October 2015.